



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/529,503

10/25/2005

Masaki Kitaoka

SUGI-101US

6154

23122 7590 02/27/2009  
RATNERPRESTIA  
P.O. BOX 980  
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482

EXAMINER

MCCOMMAS, STUART S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2629

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/27/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/529,503	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KITAOKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Stuart McCommas	<b>Art Unit</b> 2629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stuart McCommas. (3) Kenneth N. Nigon.

(2) Sumati Lefkowitz. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yang, Huang.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative described how Yang did not meet the claim limitations for claim 1. Applicant's representative further explained how Huang was different from the claimed instant application, and the Examiner provided suggestions for further distinguishing the instant application over the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629
--	--